IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.6056 OF 2005

IN

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.307 OF 2005

Gundoji Gangaram Zingade ...Applicant

Versus

Amin Abdul Ajij Shaikh & Ors. ...Respondents

.....

Mr.Shriram Sirsat for Applicant.

Respondents 1 to 3 are present in Court.

Ms.M.H.Mhatre, A.P.P. for Respondent No.4.

.....

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.

DECEMBER 12, 2005.

P.C.

1. Pursuant to notice, Respondents 1 to 3 have appeared in-person. They are seeking time to engage an Advocate. Ordinarily, there would be no difficulty in acceding to the request. However, the Respondents have been served on 24th November 2005. No reply has been filed so far. The Respondents are denying the fact that they have

been served on 24th November 2005 orally. The fact remains that the delay in filing Revision is only of eight days.

2. Accordingly, in the interest of justice, for the reasons mentioned in Para 4 of the Application, delay of eight days deserves to be condoned. This Application is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

3. Insofar as admission of Criminal Revision Application No.307 of 2005 is concerned, I shall grant one week’s time to the Respondents 1 to 3 to make necessary arrangement, if they so desire. Post Criminal Revision Application for admission on 20th December 2005.

4. Counsel for the Applicants to furnish compilation of Revision Application to the Respondents who are present in Court in the course of the day.

A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.